UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,810	11/10/2003	Satoshi Mizutani	20050/0200469-US0	20050/0200469-US0 . 5412	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 09/25/2007 ARBY P.C		EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 770			KIDWELL, N	KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
Church Street S New York, NY			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3761		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				¥
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Author 0		10/705,810	MIZUTANI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michele Kidwell	3761 .	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ☑ Applicat i 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or elements The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the elements drawing sheet(s) including the correct.	wn from consideration. election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sion is required if the drawing(s) is compared to be described to the drawing(s) is compared to the drawing(s).	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received in Price (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1 - Figures1a - 1b

Species 2 – Figure 3

Species 3 – Figure 4

Species 4 – Figure 5

Species 5 – Figures 6 – 7

Species 6 - Figure 8

Species 7 - Figure 9

Species 8 - Figures 10 and 17 - 18

Species 9 - Figures 11 and 16

Species 10 – Figure 12

Species 11 – Figure 13

Species 12 - Figure 14

Species 13 – Figure 15

Species 14 – Figure 19

Species 15 – Figure 20

Species 16 – Figure 21

Art Unit: 3761

The species are independent or distinct because claims to different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3761

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,810

Art Unit: 3761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michele Kidwell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3761